# 2016-08-11 Meeting notes

#### **Date**

III 11 Aug 2016

### **Attendees**

- Kathleen Carr
- Tyler Stevens
- Kaylin Bugbee
- Jeanne' le Roux
- Dana Shum
- Alicia Aleman

#### Goals

- Review status of the ECC repository (CMR Curation Team)
- High level summary of GCMD and ARC curation rules
  - Which schema rules were used: ECHO 10, DIF, UMM-C?
  - Which version of schemas? (e.g., UMM-C 1.x)
  - Which version of KMS was used?
  - What is the processing order? (automated vs manual curation)
- Review the curation results for the target record C1236270165-GHRC
  - · Field by field discussion of ARC and GCMD collection curation process and results
- Do we need to do this exercise with DIF, ISO, UMM records?
- Can we choose a time for a standing weekly meeting? (or should we continue doodle polls each time?)
- Future meetings
  - · Adding in HDF and CMR input to curation process
  - Curation script vs Curation UI vs Curation 'tool'
  - Desired output format and repository for curation results (spreadsheet? JIRA?)
  - Collection vs granule curation
  - Correction process with DAACs
  - Quality scoring

## **Documentation**

ARC-ECHO 10 Collection Automated Fields

SciOPS and ARC Automated Rules

Delta Analysis of GCMD-ARC QA of C1236270165-GHRC

ARC review of C1236270165-GHRC

**GCMD Curation Process** 

QA Rules Crosswalk

## **Discussion Items**

Item	Who	Notes
Status of ECC Repository	Dana Shum	<ul> <li>GCMD has accounts and is ready to upload code</li> <li>ARC is in the process of creating accounts</li> </ul>

High level summary of basis for GCMD and ARC curation rules	all	Schema:  ARC: ECHO 10 rules, UMM-C rules (word doc)  GCMD: ECHO 10 rules, UMM-C rules (word doc)  Update to UMM-C (word doc) is currently in Jama review (Aug 8 - Sept 9); all members of the curation team are encouraged to participate in this review  For continuing ARC and GCMD curation, and for the unified curation process, consider using UMM-JSON schema vs word doc  KMS:  ARC and GCMD used Version 8.1 of KMS for the curation results we are reviewing today  ARC and GCMD and the unified curation process will use Version 8.4 of KMS going forward  No suggested keywords in 8.4 came from GHRC; does not seem like new version of the KMS will change the curation results we are reviewing today
Review the curation results for the target record (use Delta Analysis spreadsheet above)	all	We made significant progress stepping through the fields in the target record, but did not complete all fields.  Current status is in this spreadsheet.
Updates to KMS	all	DAACs will be responsible for requests for updates to the KMS keywords resulting from record curation  DAACs will follow the standard KMS process to request updates; for 'minor' updates (e.g., changing a Long Name value), KMS updates might be made via JIRA ticket  Examples noted today of possible requests for KMS updates:  • Add Long Name for Platform Shortname CMORPH • Add GHRC Campaigns / Projects to keyword list
Identification and handling of errors that will apply to many records (e.g., invalid keyword for data center)	all	We are noting in the field-by-field spreadsheet whether the errors found are likely to appear in other DAAC records.
Can/should we do a similar exercise for a DIF record?		did not discuss
Standing time for weekly meetings?		Thursdays at 11 Eastern / 10 Central will not work; need a Doodle poll for a standing meeting time

# **Action items**

All: set up access to ECC 'CMR Curation Team'

All: participate in ongoing UMM-C Jama review. Especially look at: Temporal Keyword, Location Keyword (hierarchical, controlled?), Related URL

Kathleen Carr Send out Doodle Poll for standing weekly meeting times - weekly meeting set for Thursdays at 2 pm Eastern

Kathleen Carr Send out Doodle Poll for next meeting of this group, checking with Katie regarding whether the larger group will meet on August 15

Kathleen Carr Report back to group how the UMM-C changed for Related URL, and what the recommendations are for Online Access URL (value, cardinality) and Online Resource URL